

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/813,398	03/29/2004	Alexei Mogilevsky	MS301436.01/40062.225US01 5807		
Homer L. Kne	7590 04/04/2007 arl	EXAMINER			
Merchant & Gould P.C. P.O. Box 2903 Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903			BASEHOAR, ADAM L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2178		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE		Y MODE		
3 MC	NTHS	04/04/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/813	,398	MOGILEVSKY ET AL.					
		Exami	ner	Art Unit					
		Adam l	Basehoar	2178					
	The MAILING DATE of this communic	ation appears on	the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	ess				
Period fo		D DEDI V 10 0E3	TO EVOIDE - 140VITU	(A) AD THE TY (AA)	D 43/0				
- WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply within	ILING DATE OF 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nication. Itory period will apply an- ill, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNICATION event, however, may a reply be timed will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>29 March 200</u>	<u>)4</u> .						
2a)□	a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.								
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte</i>	Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election	n requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10)🛛	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03/29/04</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* S	See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the ce	ertified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachmen	, ,								
1) 🔀 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	7-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) 🔀 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 03/29/04.	<i>-</i> 010 <i>j</i>	5) Notice of Informal P						

Application/Control Number: 10/813,398 Page 2

Art Unit: 2178

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to communications: The Application filed 03/29/04.

2. Claims 1-17 are pending in this case. Claims 1, 5, and 14 are independent claims.

## Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 03/29/04 has been considered by the examiner.

## Specification

- 4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
  - a) Ref. #300 is not in the drawings as mentioned on p. 6 line 30.
- b) Text store Ref. #304 on p. 6 line 31 and p.7 line 1 should be replaced with Ref. #306 according to Figure 3.
- c) Text object model Ref. #306 on p. 6 line 33 should be replaced with Ref. #304 according to Figure 3.
- d) On p. 7 line 17, "TextHighlight" is an abstract class. However, on p. 7 line 29 "TextHighlight" is non-abstract class. These two cited statements appear to contradict each other.

Appropriate correction is required.

# **Drawings**

5. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "150" has been used to designate both software in Figure 1 and

4

Art Unit: 2178

Friendly text abstract layer in Figure 1. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 5-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "the video display". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 14 recites the limitation "said computer process". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Dependent claims 6-13 and 15-17 are rejected for fully incorporating the deficiencies of their respective base claims.

Art Unit: 2178

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

8. Claims 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 14-17 describe a computer program process, which is considered functional descriptive material in that includes a computer program that may impart functionality when employed as a computer component. Functional descriptive material per se is not statutory. Functional descriptive material must be claimed in combination with an appropriate computer readable medium to enable the functionality. Where there is no evidence in the specification that an term or phrase which may be interpreted as software, hardware or combinations thereof necessarily includes hardware, it should be interpreted in its broadest sense as software. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bangs et al (US-5,802,380 09/01/98).

-In regard to substantially similar independent claims 1 and 14, Bangs teaches a method of handling editing operations of objects on a video display in an application running on a computer system (Fig. 2A & 2B) comprising: providing an application program interface having one or more abstraction layers having a text edit

Art Unit: 2178

functionality (column 6, lines 64-67; column 7, lines 1-8 & 41-44: "various text manipulation functions"... "application program interface"); detecting an edit operation for an object displayed on the video display by the computer system (column 6, lines 21-26: "a request to modify a selected one of the defined ranges....modify the selected range"); and sending an edit operation request to one of the abstraction layers via the application program interface to initiate editing of the object via the abstraction layer (column 6, lines 26-31: "in response, invokes the member function....modifies a selected range in accordance with the received request").

-In regard to dependent claims 2 and 15, Bangs teaches wherein the application program interface has one or more abstraction layers having a layout editing functionality (column 7, lines 1-8; column 8, lines 48-53).

-In regard to dependent claims 3 and 16, Bangs teaches wherein the application program interface has a text container abstraction layer for storing a linear piece of text (column 7, lines 3-4: "ITextDocument", "ITextRange") and a text position abstraction layer for identifying a location within the piece of text (column 7, lines 4: "ITextRange", "ITextSelection").

-In regard to dependent claims 4 and 17, Bangs teaches a text navigator abstraction layer for moving between one or more locations within the text container (column 7, lines 4: "ITextRange", "ITextSelection"; column 14, lines 43-52; column 20, lines 55-67).

Art Unit: 2178

-In regard to independent claim 5, Bangs teaches a system for editing objects displayed by a computer comprising: a processor (column 9, 61-62: "processor"); and a memory (column 9, lines 62: "memory") coupled with and readable by the processor and containing instructions that, when executed by the processor, cause the processor to detect an edit operation for an object (column 6, lines 21-26: "a request to modify a selected one of the defined ranges....modify the selected range") displayed on the video display (Fig. 2A: 2A04) by the computer system, send an edit operation request from an application program via an application program interface to an abstraction layer (column 6, lines 64-67; column 7, lines 1-8 & 41-44: "various text manipulation functions"..."application program interface") within the interface to initiate editing of the object by the abstraction layer causing the abstraction layer to receive the edit operation request column 6, lines 26-31: "in response, invokes the member function...modifies a selected range in accordance with the received request", determine a container type for a container in which the object is displayed (column 6, lines 22-29: i.e. determining the selected range from the plurality of define ranges based on the user request), read a set of properties related to the object to be edited (column 6, lines 16-17: "each range has at least one property"), read a set of properties related to the container in which the object is displayed to determine a type for the container (column 8, lines 42-52: i.e. the defined range position), and edit the object based on the container type and the received edit operation request (column 6, lines 28-29: "The member function modifies a selected range in accordance with the received request").

Art Unit: 2178

-In regard to dependent claim 6, Bangs teaches wherein the type of container is a text container having a framework class (column 7, lines 1-8).

-In regard to dependent claim 7, Bangs teaches wherein the framework class includes a dependency object class used as an abstract representative for text structuring elements (column 14, lines 43-67: "ITextRange").

-In regard to dependent claim 8, Bangs teaches wherein the framework class includes a dependency property object class containing formatting information on the dependency object class (column 22, lines 62-67; column 23, lines 1-38: "accessing the attributes of the characters in a range...color, font size, capitalization, etc"...."ITextPara").

-In regard to dependent claim 9, Bangs teaches one or more base types (column 17, lines 18-25: "FindFromEnd"; column 18, lines 19-22: "returns the type").

-In regard to dependent claim 10, Bangs teaches wherein one of the base types is a logical direction type for indicating direction in linear text space (column 17, lines 18-25: "searches in the direction indicated by the sign position of the count"; column 18, lines 46-52: "moves the insertion point....in the direction indicated by the sign"; column 21, lines 1-12: i.e. Down, Up, Left, Right, etc).

Art Unit: 2178

-In regard to dependent claim 11, Bangs teaches an abstract text position class defining a mechanism for identifying location within text in the text container (column 8, lines 42-67; column 9, lines 1-24; column 12, lines 34-48: "ITextDocument Interface"; column 14, lines 42-52: "ITextRange Interface").

-In regard to dependent claim 12, Bangs teaches an abstract class text navigator providing a content exploration functionality within the object (column 14, lines 42-67: i.e. FindText, FindFromEnd, FindFromStart, etc.).

-In regard to dependent claim 13, Bangs teaches a view model for the text container to provide one or more presentational characteristics of the text container (column 22, lines 62-67; column 23, lines 1-48: i.e. ITextFont and ITextPara provides for accessing the presentation characteristics of the text container).

#### Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US-2003/0182469

09-2003

Lok et al.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adam L. Basehoar whose telephone number is (571)-272-4121. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 7:00am - 4:00pm.

Art Unit: 2178

Page 9

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Hong can be reached on (571) 272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ALB

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER